Approved For Release 2001/07/28: CIA-RDP75B00380R000100080094-4

Samuel Adams' Article "Truth in The Balance"

New York Times

Friday, 29 June 1973

Adams makes two charges against US intelligence in his article:

- a. That CIA--either by neglect or deliberate action--seriously underestimated the size of the Cambodian Communist army;
- b. That US intelligence has failed to recognize that the Cambodian struggle is a <u>civil</u> war.

Comment:

The differences between the CIA estimates of Cambodian Communist military strengths and Adams' views (40,000-50,000 vs. 200,000) are partly definitional and partly methodological. The CIA figure includes combat forces only. The Adams numbers include guerrilla/militia and support troups, as well as combat forces. The Adams figures and his methodology for deriving them were subjected to careful examination by his fellow analysts who did not accept them because they were in large measure extrapolations carried far beyond the data and reasonable limits.

The second point of whether the struggle in Cambodia is a civil war hinges on whether the Khmer Communists are independent of Hanoi. The entire Community has given this question very serious consideration. It was last addressed in May 1973, at which time the judgment was made that while the Cambodian insurgents now enjoy considerable tactical independence in day-to-day military operations, Hanoi is still in the position to call the strategic military and political shots for the Khmer Communists.

Approved For Release 2001/07/28: CIA-RDP75B00380R000100080094-4

Adams makes the point that the June 1971 draft (prepared by Adams) on the size of the Cambodian Communist army was deliberately killed. This was not the case. It was the subject of meetings with analysts from OER, OCI, and ONE, all of whom rejected his estimates because of their lack of reliable documentation and very questionable methodologies. (This consideration of his paper was given despite the fact that it was a completely volunteer effort on his part, in an area for which the Agency responsibilities rested with OER rather than his office of assignment--SRS.

Adams' overall view that Phnom Penh will one day fall--sooner if the bombing stops, later if it doesn't--is generally consistent with the Community's judgment. He bases his views almost exclusively on the size and quality of the Communist forces whereas a large ingredient in our estimate is the effect of the appallingly poor quality of the Cambodian army and Phnom Penh's inept political leadership.

Truth in the Balance

By Sam Adams

WASHINGTON—Phnom Penh will one day fall, If the United States bombing stops, the end will come quickly. If the bombing goes on, the collapse will occur anyway, but some time later.

After the Communists have taken the city-whether by storm, by barter, or by default-United States intelligence will hold its post-mortem. An intelligence post-mortem usually convenes after a major event to determine what really happened and how well the analysts did beforehand in figuring it out.

Such autopsies are seldom effective. The reason is that the persons who conduct them are normally the same ones who supervised the analysis to begin with. So intelligence coroners tend to examine old arguments, find them satisfactory and declare they told you so. What the Cambodian postmortem will find is hard to say but it will likely miss the point.

And the point is simple. The Cambodian Communists have outfought, outrecruited and outorganized the central Government. Helped at first by the Viet Cong but now on their own (except for munitions), they have gained the loyalty of more Cambodians than have the allies of the United States. But the truth is still unaccepted. It is the same truth we ignored in Vietnam in 1964, with some of the same results.

Take the size of the enemy army. For fifteen months after fighting began in earnest in Cambodia-in March 1970 when Prince Norodom Sihanouk fell—the United States Government assumed that the Communists would fail to win a local following. Therefore American intelligence neglected to examine during these months whether any Khmers had joined a Communist army. Instead it accepted on faith an old Cambodian Government guess that the native foe was a ramshackle band of from five to ten thousand. Thus Phnom Penh's conjecture became Washington's official estimate, released to the press, to Congress and to the President.

In June 1971 a draft paper of the C.I.A. suggested the enemy had raised an army while we weren't looking. The paper put its size at over 100,000 or at least ten times higher than the official estimate. Although well-documented, the finding provoked two reactions in intelligence circles. The first was disbelief that it could have properties as 2001/07/28: CIA-RDP75B00380R000100080094-4 second was appreciation that some one might find out. The paper was

killed.

An unruly scramble began to cover Jup the fifteen months of neglect. The object of the scramble was to keep the number low. It was decided almost at once, for example, that certain categories included in the old guess (such as guerrillas) no longer applied. They were therefore struck from the lists. "Conservative" accounting techniques-like those the U.S. military used in downgrading Viet Cong strength prior to Tet 1968-were applied to gauging the size and number of Khmer units in the categories which U.S. intelligence agreed to count. Thus doctored, the official estimate crept upwards. It stands now at 50,000.

The trouble is that it defies common sense. The Government army, 200,000 strong on paper, is four times bigger. Yet Khmer Communist soldiers ring the Cambodian capital, invest half a dozen lesser cities, and hold the countryside. Surely the odds don't wash. In fact, the evidence points to a Khmer Communist army about on a par with the Government's.

Or take the strength of the Khmer Communist organization. In early 1971, U.S. intelligence did not know whether a Cambodian Communist party even existed. Its ignorance stemmed from not having looked. Then a routine inquiry discovered the party was a decade old, that many thousands of its members had trained in Hanoi, and that it had run an armed rebellion in Cambodia for two years prior to Sihanouk's fall. Although these facts struck down many of our earlier assumptions on Cambodia, we have only begun to face what they mean.

To begin with, the Khmer rebellion is older and better run than previously thought. The rebels have a traditional Communist structure, much like the Viet Cong's, with committees, chapters and cells. Ruthless, they have long since scotched internal factions, so that contrary to U.S. assertions, the rebels in fact present a united front.

A second implication is even more profound. Structurally separate from Hanoi, the Cambodian Communist party is independent. The members of its Central Committee are all Cambodians, who dislike Vietnamese and resent attempts by Hanoi to dictate events on Cambodian turf. They regard themselves as Hanoi's allies, and demand what they deem their just prerogatives. One wonders what they think of Mr. Kissinger's efforts to arrange their fate in Paris.

So what are we left with? Mainly that U.S. intelligence has repeated in Cambodia the mistakes it made in Vietnam. By failing so long to examine the adversaries' native strength, we once again misread the nature of the conflict. Far from being a foreign aggression, the Cambodian struggle is now a civil war. By obscuring the problem—first by neglect, then by strategem- U.S. intelligence has only compounded it, and we are left to watch in dismay as Cambodia falls to Cambodian Communists.

Sam Adams recently resigned from the C.I.A. where he worked for many years as an analyst of the Cambodian and Vietnamese Communists.



CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

Office of Legislative Counsel Washington, D. C. 20505 Telephone: 351-6121 (Code 143-6121) 3 July 1973

TO: Mr. Frank Slatinshek
Chief Counsel
Committee on Armed Services

Attached is a commentary which our people prepared on Sam Adams' article in the New York Times on 29 June. I think it covers the items you had in mind. If not, please let me know.

25X1A

Acting Legislative Counsel

FORM 1533 GBSOLETE PREVIOUS EDITIONS

(40)